

<b>First Action Interview Pilot Program Pre-Interview Communication</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/584,702	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALVARADO ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> David García Cervetti	<b>Art Unit</b> 2436	Page 1 of

**-The MAILING OR NOTIFICATION DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -**  
THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE **ONE MONTH OR THIRTY (30) DAYS**, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING OR NOTIFICATION DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

**This time period for reply is extendable under 37 CFR 1.136(a) for only ONE additional MONTH.**

**This communication constitutes notice under 37 CFR 1.136(a)(1)(i).**

Applicant must, within the time period for reply, file: (1) A letter requesting not to have a first action interview; (2) A reply under 37 CFR 1.111 waiving the first action interview and First Action Interview Office Action; or (3) An Applicant Initiated Interview Request Form (PTOL-413A) electronically via EFS-Web, accompanied by a proposed amendment or arguments, and schedule the interview within 2 months from the filing of the request. A failure to respond to this communication will be treated as a request not to have an interview. If applicant waives the First Action Interview Office Action, the instant Pre-Interview Communication is deemed the first Office Action on the Merits. The next subsequent Office action may be made final if appropriate. See MPEP 706.07(a).

#### Disposition of Claims

- 3) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are pending in the application.  
3a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 4) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are rejected.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-12 is/are objected to.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

#### Application Papers

- 8) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 9) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 22 June 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 10) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

#### Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 11) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  
a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
- ☒ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\*See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

#### Contact Information

Examiner's Telephone Number: (571)272-5861  
Examiner's Typical Work Schedule: Monday-Tuesday and Thursday-Friday  
Supervisor's Name: Nasser Moazzami  
Supervisor's Telephone Number: (571)272-4195

#### Attachment(s)

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____. |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                        |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>9/14/06</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____.  |

<b>First Action Interview Pilot Program</b> <b>Pre-Interview Communication</b>				<b>Application No.</b> 10584702	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ALVARADO ET AL.
				<b>Examiner</b> David García Cervetti	<b>Art Unit</b> 2436
<b>Notification of Rejection(s) and/or Objection(s)</b>					
#	Claim(s)	Reference(s) (if applicable)	Rejection Statutory Basis	Brief Explanation of Rejection	
1	1-12		35 USC 112, 2nd	They appear to be a translation from a foreign language and are in narrative form and not indented (MPEP 608.01 (i))	
2	1-12	6922835	35 USC 102(e)	col7-8, multiple contexts and subordinate contexts for VMs, applications access objects from the other space if permitted	
3	1-12	2005/0138192	35 USC 102(e)	par-121-133, multiple contexts, user and system, one higher level of security than the other (claim 1), access to multimedia with one context for a user one for an operator (claim 2).	
4	1-12	20070143444	35 USC 103(a)	provides a system with multiple context/VMs, and MIDP support.	

Expanded Discussion/Commentary		
1		The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. They appear to be a literal translation into English from a foreign document and are replete with grammatical and idiomatic errors.
<b>DATE:</b> 4/13/2010		/David García Cervetti/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2436